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| **STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT** **BRANCH**       |       **COUNTY** | For Official Use |
| In the Matter of the Mental Condition of: Case No.     ME    CLIENTAlleged to be in need of an involuntarymental commitment. |
| **RESPONDENT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PETITIONER** |

Pursuant to Wis. Stats. §§ 51.20(10)(c) and 804.08 the Respondent, CLIENT, in the above-named case, requests the petitioner provide answers to the interrogatories set forth below as soon as practicable. The respondent further demands supplementation of the answers when required pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 804.01(5). The interrogatories are hereby expressly made continuing, requiring **supplemental** answers thereto as information is acquired through the time of a disposition hearing.

1. State the full name of the person preparing the answers to these interrogatories, and of any person assisting in the preparation of the answers.
2. Provide dates upon which the advantages and disadvantages of the psychotropic medications were discussed with the respondent.
3. State specifically, who spoke with the respondent, and what information was discussed on the date(s) provided in response to “Question #2”. State specific responses given by the respondent during said discussion(s).
4. State specifically, what in the respondent’s treatment history and\or records supports the conclusion that, if treatment were currently withdrawn, the respondent would become a proper subject for commitment.
5. State specifically any incidents, including the date of the incident, in the respondent’s history, known to DOC or the petitioner, which would form a basis to allege the respondent is “dangerous” as defined by Wis. Stats. §§ 51.20(1)(a)2.a-e.
6. State specifically any incidents since the respondent’s original commitment, known to DHS or the petitioner, which would form a basis to allege the respondent is “dangerous” as defined by Wis. Stats. §§ 51.20(1)(a)2.a-e.
7. Provide any published guidelines from DHS or DOC defining the phrase “reasonable degree of medical certainty”, and/or how to appropriately measure this standard.
8. Identify any recognized professional groups in the medical or psychological field that have adopted a standard definition for the phrase “reasonable degree of medical certainty”.
9. Provide any publications in the medical or psychological field that show mental abnormality of and in itself contributes to the prediction of the predisposition to act violently.
10. Provide any publications in the medical or psychological field that have adopted a standard to accurately predict future violent acts.

 Dated at      , Wisconsin, this       day of      , 20     .

 Respectfully submitted,
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